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## PRODUCTION OF TIGHT COOPERAGE STOCK IN 1906.

Previous to 1905, statistics upon the production of lumber and timber products were collected by the Bureau of the Census in connection with the decennial and quinquennial censuses of manufactures. To satisfy an urgent demand for more frequent reports upon these important products the Forest Serrice collected statistics of the production in 1905. In 1906 the Bureau of the Census and the Forest Service arranged to cooperate in the preparation of annual statistics of forest products.

The collection and compilation of the statistics of production in 1906, therefore, have been under the direct supervision of W. M. Steuart, Chief Statistician for Manufactures, and J. E. Whelchel, Expert Chief of Division, on the part of the Bureau of the Census; and R. S. Kellogg, Chief of the Office of Wood Utilization, and H. M. Hale, Forest Assistant, on the part of the Forest Service.
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## PRODUCTION OF TIGHT COOPERAGE STOCK IN 1906.

The chief features in the production of tight cooperage stock for the year 1906 were, first, an increase of more than $25,000,000$ staves over the production of 1905 ; second, an increase of more than $5,000,000$ sets of heading over the production of 1905; third, an advance in price of all stock, but particularly in the higher grades; and, fourth, a scarcity of heading early in the season, and of staves, particularly oil staves, later in the year.

## PRODUCTION.

The increased production, coupled with a strong market throughout the year, indicates clearly that the amount of stock produced was not sufficient to meet all demands. The Pure Food Law strengthened the market for alcoholic packages. Immediately after this law went into effect there was a sharp demand upon distillers for a considerable quantity of bonded goods. To supply this deficiency the distillers were forced to increase their production, and made consequent demand for a large number of barrels. This action alone would have strengthened the market for oil barrels, and, in addition, there was the enormous production of petroleum oil for 1905, greater by over $17,000,000$ barrels than for any previous year, and a large production of cotton-seed oil, and many other commodities marketed in tight packages. More than this, the market for white oak lumber had never been so strong in the history of the industry, and since the stave manufacturer must compete directly with the manufacturer of white oak lumber, this fact alone would have been sufficient to cause the price to advance considerably. Altogether, the indústry had a very successful year; there was no difficulty in disposing of the stock produced, though there was some in finding a sufficient supply of white oak. A clear indication of this latter condition is found in the increased production of red oak staves. In 1905 the number produced was about $7,000,000$, while in 1906 it exceeded $11,000,000$.

## STAVES.

Table 1 shows that the total production of all kinds of tight staves in 1905 was $241,193,000$; in 1906 it reached $267,827,000$. The heading production in 1905 aggregated $12,959,000$ sets; in 1906 it was $17,774,375$ sets. The average price obtained for heading was 23 cents per set, and for staves $\$ 31.32$ per thousand f. o. b. point of shipment, which in most cases was the point of manufacture.

Table 1.-Quantity of tight barrel staves and heading produced in 1906 and 1905.
staves.

| Kind. | 1906. |  |  | 1905. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number. | Value. | Average price per thousand f.o.b. point of manufacture. |  |
| Sawed. <br> Bucked and split. <br> Hewed <br> Ale and beer. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Thousands. } \\ 219,524 \\ 18,352 \\ 9,781 \\ 20,170 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dollars. } \\ & 5,746,780 \\ & 866,821 \\ & 915,740 \\ & 860,301 \end{aligned}$ | Dollars. 26.18 47.23 93.62 42.65 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Thousands. } \\ 202,369 \\ 10,792 \\ 10,396 \\ 17,636 \end{array}$ |
| Total. | 267, 827 | 8,389,642 | 31.32 | 241.193 |

HEADING.

| Sawed Beer.. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sets. } \\ 16,115,030 \\ 1,659,345 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dollars. } \\ & 3,612,281 \\ & 387 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Dollars per set } \\ 0.22 \\ .23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sets. } \\ & 11,023,100 \\ & 1,936,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total. | 17,774,375 | 3, 999, 630 | . 23 | 12,959,000 |

There was an increase in the production of all kinds of staves except pork staves; this class shows an unimportant decrease. The amount of heading produced shows a much larger proportionate increase than the number of staves, but. in view of the shortage in the heading market last year it may be that this increase is only sufficient to take care of the shortage which occurred at that time.

The total value in Table 1 is less than that given in the figures for $1905,{ }^{a}$ because the value in 1905 represents values "delivered" at Chicago quotations. The value in Table 1 is f . o. b. at point of shipment, and therefore not comparable with the value given in the 1905 circular.

## PRICES.

In order to gain a fair comparison of the relative prices obtained for the principal grades of cooperage stock for 1905 and 1906, the following quotations from the Chicago market are given. In 1905 the approximate average price for bucked Bourbon staves was $\$ 65$ per 1,000 ; in 1906, figuring at the same period of the year, the average price was $\$ 70$ per 1,000 . Sawed Bourbon staves in 1905 show an average price of $\$ 50$; in $1906, \$ 56$ per 1,000 . Spirits and wine advanced from $\$ 50$ to $\$ 52.50$; oil and tierce from $\$ 32$ to $\$ 35$. The quotations on beer stock showed little variation between. the two years. In the heading market there was little difference in the price of Bourbon heading, and seven-eighth inch stock was quoted at $\$ 0.50$ per set, both in 1905 and 1906. Spirits and wine, however, advanced from 35 cents in 1905 to 42 cents in 1906, while oil and
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tierce advanced from 20 cents in 1905 to 28 cents per set in 1906. It is believed that the quotations of the Chicago market, as compiled by the Tight Barrel Stave Manufacturers' Association and furnished throughout the year, are substantially correct and indicate the change in prices which has taken place during the season.

## EXPORTS.

On an average more than one-fifth of the tight stave production in the United States is exported, as is shown by Table 2, which gives the exports of staves for the fiscal years 1902-1906, as compiled by the Bureau of Statistics of the Department of Commerce and Labor. About four-fifths of all the staves exported go to Europe, and most of the remaining fifth to other countries of North America. The quantity going to South America, Africa, Asia, and Oceania is negligible. Of the European countries, France is by far the largest user of American staves.

TAbLe 2.-Quantity and value of staves exported, 1902-1906.


Nearly all of the exported staves are of high quality and require white oak of the best grade. Thus the export trade makes a disproportionately heavy drain on the supply of white oak-a drain much greater than is indicated by comparison of the number manufactured with the number exported. When it is considered that all staves $o_{i}^{?}$ all kinds are valued at $\$ 8,389,642$ at the point of production, and the quantity exported (though only one-fifth in number) is valued at $\$ 4,699,877$ at the point of exportation, a better idea is obtained of this drain on the best white oak supply.

The fall in tho average price in 1905 for export material can be explained only by the lessened foreign demand, due to some unknown factor of spirit production abroad. It can not be attributed to overproduction or to an abundant wood supply in this country.

Table 3 shows the production of tight barrel staves in 1905 and 1906 by States and classes. By far the greater part of this stock is produced in comparatively few States. In 1906 the leading ones in order of their importance were Arkansas, Kentucky, Tennessee, West Virginia, Louisiana, and Alabama. In 1905, however, Kentucky led, followed by Tennessee, Missouri, Arkansas, Louisiana,
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Ohio, and West Virginia. West Virginia has very recently become important in the production of stares. It has a wealth of white oak timber, but up to the present time most of it has been very inaccessible. Since 1905, however, the total production from West Virginia has increased from about $11,000,000$ to more than $30,000,000$ staves.

Table 3.-Production of tight barrel staves in 1905 and 1906, by States.

| State. | Total. |  |  |  | Sawed. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1906. |  |  | 1905. | 1906. | 1905. |
|  | Number. | Value. | Arerage price per in (f. o. b. at mill). |  |  |  |
| Alabama | Thousands. $14,424$ | Dollars. 451, 447 | Dollars. $31.30$ | Thousands. $5,955$ | Thousands. 13, 976 | Thousands. $5,893$ |
| Arkansas | 67,551 1,530 | 2, 051,425 | 30.37 | 23,939 | 62,835 | 21,192 |
| Georgia. | 1.530 1.700 | 33,250 74.100 | 21.73 |  | 1,530 |  |
| Illinois.. | 1.700 | 74,100 17,220 | 43. 59 22.48 | 8,459 8,800 |  | 7,756 7,500 |
| Kentucky | 61,123 | 2,236,271 | 36. 59 | 61,270 | 40,666 | 46,980 |
| Louisiana | 14,784 | 884,092 | 59.80 | 15,095 | 7,088 | 4,506 |
| Michigan. |  |  |  | 1,325 |  | 1,325 |
| Mississippi | 11,671 | 429.832 | 36. 83 | 6,798 | 8,273 | 4,381 |
| Missouri.. | 11.724 | 232,067 | 19. 79 | 26,993 | 11,464 | 24,871 |
| New York |  |  |  | 1,191 |  | 1, 050 |
| Pennsrivan | 1,105 | 70,075 | 63. 42 | 12,712 | 550 | 12, 712 |
| Pennsylvan | 32.812 | 15,008 | 24. 52 | 2,704 | 612 | 2, 561 |
| Texas..... | 32,865 2,900 | 808, 828 | 2.61 | 37,468 | 29,918 | 35, 093 |
| Virginia. | 5,542 | 111,150 | 25.47 | 2, 045 | 5, 205 | 1, 495 |
| West Virginia | 30,740 | 595, 728 | 19.38 | 11,353 | 29,700 | 11,353 |
| All others.. | 8,790 | 171,840 | 19.55 | 11,635 | 6,933 | 10,733 |
| Total. | 267,827 | 8,389,642 | 31.32 | 241,193 | 219,524 | 202,369 |
| State. | Bucked and split. |  | Hewed. |  | Beer and ale. |  |
|  | 1906. | 1905. | 1906. | 1905. | 1906. | 1905. |
| Alabama. | Thousands. 448 | Thousands. 59 | Thousands | Thousands. | Thousands. | Thousands. |
| Arkansas. | 3,993 | 1,930 | 275 |  | 448 | 817 |
| Illinois. |  |  |  | 3 | $1.700^{\circ}$ | 700 |
| Indiana... | 6,407 | 1,300 2,137 | 45 |  | 14,005 |  |
| Louisiana | 1,067 | 2,500 | 6,629 | 7,384 | 14,005 | 12,405 |
| Michigan. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mississippi | 2. 203 | 1,119 ${ }_{590}$ | 1,195 | 1,298 | 260 |  |
| New York. |  | 40 |  | 70 |  | 1,31 |
| Ohio... |  |  | 550 |  |  |  |
| Pennsylvania |  |  |  |  |  | 143 |
| Tennessee. | 550 |  | 700 | 1,575 | 1,697 | 800 |
| Texas.. | 2,547 | 230 | 147 | 20 | 203 | 233 |
| Virginia. | 277 | 500 | 60 |  |  | 50 |
| West Virginia All others. | 860 | 87 | 180 |  | 1,857 | 815 |
| Total. | 18,352 | 10,792 | 9,781 | 10,396 | 20,170 | 17,636 |

## SAWED STAVES.

In the production of sawed staves, which are by far the most important class numerically, Arkansas leads, followed by Kentucky, Tennessee, and West Virginia. In 1905 Kentucky was in the lead, followed by Tennessee, Missouri, and Arkansas.
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Table 4.-Production of sawed staves in 1905 and 1906.

| Grade. | Timber. | 1906. |  |  | 1905. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value } \\ & \text { (f. o. b. } \\ & \text { mill). } \end{aligned}$ | Price per thousand. |  |
| Oil and tierce. | White oak. | Thousands. 107, 295 | Dollars. $2,484,168$ | Dollars. 23.15 | Thousands. 104, 067 |
| Oil and tierce. | Bed oak.. | 11,578 | 231, 655 | 20.01 | - 7,737 |
| Spirit and wine | White oak | 29,857 | 1,210, 362 | 40.54 | 28,094 |
| Bourbon | White oak | 22,737 | 1,117,570 | 49.15 | 20,460 |
| Pork. | White oak | 8,360 | 160,422 | 19.19 | 6,869 |
| Half barrel | White oak | 6,687 | 131,590 | 19.68 | 3, 821 |
| Cut-offs. | Redand white | $-18,831$ | 166, 842 | 8.86 | 16,770 |
| All others | Miscellaneous.. | 14,179 | 244, 171 | 17.22 | 14,551 |
| Total. |  | 219, 524 | 5,746,780 | 26.18 | 202,369 |

Table 4 compares the production of sawed staves in 1906 and 1905 by classes and kinds of wood. It is interesting to note that, with the exception of red oak oil and tierce, the proportion of the various grades has not changed greatly. In 1905 the white oak oil and tierce staves amounted to a trifle more than half of the total number of sawed staves; adding the red-oak staves, the oil and tierce production was 55 per cent. In 1906 white oak oil and tierce staves were a little less than one-half the sawed staves; the added red oak staves make the proportion of oil and tierce staves about the same as in 1905. The alcoholic staves still constitute a little less than onefourth of the total number manufactured. The "All other" classification, which includes gum, poplar, elm, pine, and various other woods, shows an unimportant decrease.

Table 5.-Production of sawed staves, by classes and States, 1906.

| State. | Total. | Oil and tierce. | Spirit and wine. | Bourbon. | Pork. | Half barrel. | Cut-offs. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { others. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama. | Thousands. 13, 976 | Thousands. 7,863 | Thousands. 1,350 | Thousands. 1,333 | Thousands. 156 | Thousands. 428 | Thousands. 1,865 | Thousands. 981 |
| Arkansas | 62,835 | 31,573 | 17,058 | 2,703 | 1,771 | 507 | 8,504 | 719 |
| Indiana. | 1,530 766 | 1,530 | 69 | 161 |  | 36 |  | 450 |
| Kentucky | 40,666 | 20,240 | 2,992 | 14,706 | 130 | 194 | 2,354 | 50 |
| Louisiana | 7,088 | 3,683 | 2,096 | 60 | 49 |  | 356 | 844 |
| Mississippi | 8,273 | 5,062 | 2,112 | 739 | 20 | 114 | 226 |  |
| Missour | 11,464 | 2,900 150 |  |  | 1,522 | 2,601 | 329 | 4,112 |
| Pennsylvani | 612 | 150 |  | 300 | 405 |  | 112 |  |
| Tennessee. | 29,918 | 16,872 | 3,700 | 1,855 | 2,167 | 2,427 | 2, 747 | 150 |
| Texas... | [ ${ }^{3}$ | - ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Virgini | 29,700 | 24,722 | 300 | 780 | 1, 420 | 380 | 2,018 | 80 |
| All others. | 6,933 | 300 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 6,593 |
| Total | 219.524 | 118,873 | 29,857 | 22.737 | 8, 36:0 | 6,687 | 18,831 | 14,179 |

The rank of the States in the production of sawed staves is about the same as in total production, except that Louisiana, which produces many hewed staves, stands relatively lower than in the total production.
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## BUCKED AND SPLIT STAVES.

Table 6 shows the production of bucked and split staves by classes for 1905 and 1906, and Table 7 that by States for 1906. The production of this grade of staves shows an increase from $10,792,000$ to $18,352,000$. This class of stock is produced exclusively for the storage and transportation of alcoholic liquors, and the most of it is exported. Nearly one-half of the total production is Bourbon, followed by West Indian spirit and wine.

Table 6.-Production of bucked and split staves, 1905 and 1906.

| Grade. | 1906. |  |  | 1905. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number. | Value. | Price per thousand. |  |
| Bourbon | Thousands. $8,979$ | Dollars. 477,568 | Dollars. 53.19 | Thousands. 5,020 |
| West Indian. | 4,136 | 177,055 | 42.81 | 1,966 |
| Spirit and wine | 2,942 | 137, 301 | 46.67 | 1,882 |
| Seconds.... | 1,799 | 61,132 | 33.98 | 1,538 |
| Half barrel. | 357 | 11,715 | 32.82 | 291 |
| Cut-offis. | 139 | 2,050 | 14.75 | 95 |
| Total. | 18,352 | 866,821 | 47.23 | 10,792 |

The average value per 1,000 of Bourbon bucked and split staves is very much higher than for the same class of sawed staves. This is due to the fact that this stock is made entirely by hand by a slow, expensive process, and, further, an unusually high grade of white oak is required, and it is impossible to get from the same amount of wood as many split staves as sawed staves.

Table 7.-Production of bucked and split staves, by States, 1906.

| State. | Total. | Bourbon. | West Indian. | Spirit and wine. | Seconds. | Half barrels. | Cut-offs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thousands. | Thousands. | Thousands. | Thousands. | Thousands. | Thousands. | Thousands. |
| Arkansas | $\begin{array}{r}448 \\ 3,993 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2, 123 | 4 | 1,620 | 140 | 117 |  |
| Kentucky | 6,407 | 2,499 | 2,785 | - 30 | 991 | 65 | 37 |
| Louisiana. | 1,067 | 828 | 31 | 175 | 10 |  | 23 |
| Mississippi | 2,203 | 1,155 | 424 | 352 | 262 |  | 10 |
| Tennessee | 550 | 250 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |  |
| Virginia | 2,547 | 1,700 60 | 92 | 125 | 165 | 175 |  |
| West Virginia | 860 | 100 | 700 |  |  |  | 60 |
| Total | 18,352 | 8,979 | 4,136 | 2,942 | 1,799 | 357 | 139 |

## HEWED STAVES.

Table 8 shows the production of hewed staves by classes, and Table 9 that by States, in 1906. The two principal items in this classification are French claret and pipe staves. The average price per thousand is misleading, because there are so many different sizes in this class of hewed stock and the ratio of increase in price is dis-
proportionately greater than the increase in size. The $\$ 75$ per thousand for French claret and $\$ 121$ for pipe staves are at best very general averages and can not be taken as prices for any particular size of stock. In the "All other" items are included a miscellaneous classification, such as tank staves and Canada butts. Louisiana produces about two-thirds of all the hewed staves.

Table 8.-Production of hewed staves.

| Grade. | 1906. |  |  | 1905. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number. | Value. | Price per thousand. |  |
| French claret | Thousands. 7, 442 | Dollars. 560, 500 | Dollars. <br> 75.32 | Thousands. $10,193$ |
| Pipe.......... | 1,363 | 165, 040 | 121.09 | 95 |
| All others | 976 | 190, 200 | 194.88 | 108 |
| Total. | 9,781 | 915,740 | 93.62 | 10,396 |

Table 9.-Production of hewed staves by States, 1906.

| State. | Total. | French claret. | Pipe. | All others. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arkansas. | Thousands. | Thousands. 243 | Thousands. | Thousands. 32 |
| Kentucky | 45 |  | 37 | 8 |
| Louisiana. | 6,629 | 5,641 | 769 | 219 |
| Mississippi | 1,195 | 896 | 272 | 27 |
| Ohio..... | 550 |  |  | 550 |
| Tennessee | 700 | 600 |  | 100 |
| Texas.. | 147 | 62 | 85 |  |
| Virginia...... | 60 |  | 60 |  |
| West Virginia. | 180 |  | 140 | 40 |
| Total. | 9,781 | 7,442 | 1,363 | 976 |

## HEADING.

Table 10 shows the production of tight barrel heading by States, giving in detail the quantities of different kinds. Beer heading and staves are tabulated separately, because the difference in the form of this stock, such as barrel, half barrel, quarter barrel, etc., makes it impossible to classify it with the sawed heading and staves shown elsewhere. In the production of heading Arkansas leads, followed by Tennessee. The combined production of these two States amounts to about two-thirds of the total production in the United States. The only other States that produced any considerable quantity of heading are Mississippi, West Virginia, and Kentucky. The best grade of Bourbon heading is produced in largest quantity in Kentucky, followed by Mississippi and Tennessee. Mississippi's second place indicates in a measure at least that there is slight foundation for the popular idea that oak from the most southern States is of a poorer quality than that grown farther north. In the production of beer heading, Kentucky leads, as it does in the production of beer staves.

Table 10.-Production of tight barrel heading, by classes and States, 1906.
[Figures show actual number of sets.]
SETS OF SAWED HEADING.

| State. | Total. | Oil and tierce. | Bourbon. | Spirit and wine. | Half barrel and keg. | Miscellaneous. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | Number. $15,000$ | Number. $10,000$ | Number. $3,000$ | Number. $2,000$ | Number. | Number. |
| Arkansas | 5, 699, 484 | 3, 343, 750 | 210, 349 | 926, 415 | 261,760 | 957, 210 |
| Georgia.. | 90,121 220,000 | 55,000 100,000 |  |  |  | 35,121 40,000 |
| Kentucky | 1,218, 394 | 365, 000 | 600, 321 | 175,901 | 41, 430 | 35, 742 |
| Louisiana | 47, 300 |  |  |  |  | 47, 300 |
| Maine. | 110,000 |  |  |  |  | 110,000 |
| Mississippi | 1,701,335 | 645, 193 | 576, 573 | 349, 945 | 90,305 | 39, 319 |
| Ohissouri | 430,382 30,150 | 300,000 10 | 50 | 10,000 |  |  |
| Tennessee | 5, 011, 773 | 2, 672, 465 | 511, 387 | 528, 561 | 993, 473 | 305, 887 |
| Texas. West Virgini | 12,000 $1,425,914$ | 12,000 628,514 |  |  |  |  |
| West Virgini Wisconsin. | 1, 425,914 | 628,514 | 366, 500 | 12,000 | 301,900 | 117,000 |
| All others $a$ | 103, 177 |  |  |  |  | 103, 177 |
| Total. | 16, 115, 030 | 8,142, 022 | 2, 268, 180 | 2,004,822 | 1,889,250 | 1,810,756 |

SETS OF BEER HEADING.

| State. | Total. | Barrel. | Half barrel. | Fourth barrel. | Eighth barrel. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ale } \\ & \text { hogs- } \\ & \text { head. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ale } \\ \text { barrel } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ale } \\ & \text { half } \\ & \text { barrel. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | Number. | Number. | Number. | Number. | Number. | No. | Number. | No. |
| Arkansas | 165,316 | 18,326 | 115,221 | 17,828 | 13,631 | 310 |  |  |
| Georgia. . Indiana. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kentucky | $1,308,287$ 38,092 | 49,084 38,092 | 290,978 | 627,819 | 275, 100 | 4,385 | 3,754 | 57,167 |
| Maine.... |  | - 38,750 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mississippi |  | 2,000 | 400 | 1,000 | 300 |  |  |  |
| Ohio... | 3,700 | 2,000 | 400 | 1,000 | 300 |  |  |  |
| Tennesse | 10,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas Vi...... | 88,200 |  | 55,000 |  |  |  | 33, 200 |  |
| Wisconsin.. | 42,000 | 6,000 | 20,000 | 16,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1,659, 345 | 122, 252 | 486, 599 | 662, 647 | 289,031 | 4,695 | 36,954 | 57,167 |

$a$ Includes California, Florida, Massachusetts, Oregon, and Washington.
Table 11 classifies sawed heading produced in 1906 and shows the average value per thousand of each class. The white oak oil and tierce ranks first, followed by Bourbon, spirit and wine, and all others.

Table 11.-Quantity of sawed heading produced in 1905 and 1906.

$a$ Includes 879,908 sets of red oak.

## STAVES

Table 12 shows by States and classes the production of beer and ale staves in 1906. This branch of the industry is very much localized and confined closely to the single State of Kentucky. The industry itself is in the hands of a comparatively few men, who, by virtue of their experience, are able most satisfactorily to supply the needs of the users. Considerable skill is required to produce this stock because, since there is no saw which will produce a satisfactory stave, it is necessary to split the stock instead.

Table 12.-Beer and ale staves produced in 1906, by States.

| State. | Total. | Barrel. | Half barrel. | Quarter barrel. | Eighth barrel. | Ale barrel. | Ale half barrel. | Ale and beer hogshead. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arkansas . | Thousands. 448 | Thousands. 147 | Thousands. 207 | Thousands. 54 | Thousands. 27 | Thousands. | Thousands. | Thousands. 13 |
| Illinois. | 1,700 |  | 650 | 900 | 150 |  |  |  |
| Kentucky | 14,005 | 830 | 4,398 | 5,635 | 2, 579 | 224 | 247 | 92 |
| Missouri. | 1260 | 5 | 62 | 125 | 68 |  |  |  |
| Tennesse | 1,697 | 10 | 450 | 850 | 387 |  |  |  |
| Texas... | 1 1,857 | 67 149 | 98 359 | 1,227 | 79 | 24 |  | 38 19 |
| Total. | 20,170 | 1,208 | 6,224 | 8,791 | 3,290 | 248 | 247 | 162 |

BEER AND ALE HEADING.
Table 13 shows the production of beer and ale heading for 1905 and 1906.

Table 13.-Quantity of beer and ale heading produced in 1905 and 1906.


Table 14 compares the figures of 1906 and 1905 in the production of beer and ale staves by classes; numerically the quarter-barrel size leads.

Table 14.-Production of beer and ale staves, by classes, in 1906.

| Grade. | 1906. |  |  | 1905. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number. | Value. | Price per thousand. |  |
| Barrel. | Thousands. 1, 208 | Dollars. 97,750 | Dollars. 80.92 | Thousands. |
| Half barrel. | 6,224 | 300, 108 | 48.22 | 6,123 |
| Quarter barrel | 8,791 | 320, 931 | 36.51 | 7,380 |
| Eighth barrel. | 3, 290 | 84, 932 | 25. 82 | 2,333 |
| Ale barrel. | 248 | 22,920 | 92. 42 | 96 |
| Ale half barrel. | 247 | 15, 850 | 64.17 | 50 |
| Ale and beer hogshead. | 162 | 17, 810 | 109.94 | 476 |
| Total. | 20, 170 | 860,301 | 42.65 | 17,636 |

## SUBSTITUTES.

While the production of wooden stock has increased it has not increased in proportion to the amount of the product. In the oil industry tank cars have tended to displace barrels.

A large number of bottles are used for transporting and storing beer and ale, but no satisfactory substitute has been discovered for the wooden package. Further, for containers of such material as alcoholic spirits, wines, and whiskies, nothing has proved as satisfactory as a wooden package manufactured from white oak. The great demand on the white oak resources of the country for furniture wood and interior finishing wood, and the removal of the most accessible timber, have greatly increased the price of white oak for all purposes, and the result has been a sharp advance in the price of staves and heading, even within the last year.

In many cases a strong effort is being made to replace white oak with other wood. The situation is, perhaps, most serious on the Pacific coast. Wine growers must have suitable packages for storing and shipping their product, and thus far no substitute has been generally recognized as serving the purpose as well as white oak, yet its scarcity and high price, even in the eastern market, make it almost prohibitive to ship it across the continent. Experiments are now being made to devise a package to replace that of white oak for the storage and transportation of wine. Redwood and cypress are being advanced with some show of success. For transportation these woods are almost too soft, especially the redwood. The success of this project, however, will doubtless be watched with considerable interest by this manufacturers of tightcooperage stock in the East.
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